arXiv:1412.0547v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 27 Aug 2015

Near-equilibrium universality and bounds on efficiency in quasi-static regime with finite source and sink

Ramandeep S. Johal*

Department of Physical Sciences,

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali,

Sector 81, S.A.S. Nagar,

Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India

Renuka Rai†

Department of Applied Sciences,

University Institute of Engineering and Technology

Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

We show the validity of some results of finite-time thermodynamics, also within the quasi-static framework of classical thermodynamics. First, we consider the efficiency at maximum work (EMW) from finite source and sink modelled as identical thermodynamic systems. The near-equilibrium regime is characterized by expanding the internal energy upto second order (i.e. upto linear response) in the difference of initial entropies of the source and the sink. It is shown that the efficiency is given by a universal expression $2\eta_C/(4-\eta_C)$, where η_C is the Carnot efficiency. Then, different sizes of source and sink are treated, by combining different numbers of copies of the same thermodynamic system. The efficiency of this process is found to be $\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \eta_C/(2-\gamma\eta_C)$, where the parameter γ depends only on the relative size of the source and the sink. This implies that within the linear response theory, EMW is bounded as $\eta_C/2 \leq \boldsymbol{\eta}_0 \leq \eta_C/(2-\eta_C)$, where the upper (lower) bound is obtained with a sink much larger (smaller) in size than the source. We also remark on the behavior of the efficiency beyond linear response.

PACS numbers: 05.70.-a, 05.70.Ce, 05.70.Ln

* rsjohal@iisermohali.ac.in

† rren2010@gmail.com

Introduction:

Bounds on the efficiency of idealized thermal processes have contributed deeply in our understanding of laws of nature. For example, Carnot established a universal upper bound (η_C) on the efficiency of heat engines working between two heat reservoirs, which can be achieved by any reversible cycle. Although Carnot's seminal work dates back to 1824, understanding analogous general criteria in finite-time models of thermal machines has gained momentum only recently. A widely studied quantity in heat engines is the efficiency at maximum power, $\eta_{\rm mp}$. Here the irreversible, finite-rate mechanisms of heat exchange have been modelled within various frameworks, like endoreversible models [1, 2], linear irreversible thermodynamics [3, 4], stochastic thermodynamics [5], low-dissipation assumption [6] and so on. Many of these models [2, 4–6] obtain the following formula for the efficiency at maximum power:

$$\eta_{\rm mp} = \frac{\eta_C}{2 - \gamma\eta_C}. \tag{1}$$

Here the real parameter γ depends on the details of the particular model and can take values in the range $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. So the above expression also yields definite bounds for $\eta_{\rm mp}$: $\eta_C/2 \leq \eta_{\rm mp} \leq \eta_C/(2-\eta_C)$ (see also [7–10]). For instance, under the low-dissipation assumption [6], the upper bound is achieved at maximum power when the time allocated for the cold contact τ_- is very small compared to the time for the hot contact τ_+. The lower bound is achieved for the opposite situation: $\tau_+ \ll \tau_-$. On the other hand, Ref. [4] derives these bounds from different assumptions based more on the principles of linear irreversible thermodynamics. Further, in some of these models [5, 11, 12], a universality has been often observed for small values of η_C, given by $\eta_{\rm mp} = \eta_C/2 + \eta_C^2/8$. While the first order term can be justified for tight-coupling engines within linear irreversible thermodynamics [3], the second-order term is beyond linear response and holds only under further conditions of "left-right" symmetry [12].

Although based on simple models, the comparison of the above bounds with efficiencies of real thermal plants looks promising [6]. Still the general conditions, under which these bounds apply, are not clear. Are these valid only close to equilibrium? The low-dissipation model is valid close to reversible limit with long cycle times. On the other hand, in Ref. [4] such assumption does not seem relevant, although one might expect that validity of linear irreversible thermodynamics suggests proximity to equilibrium. In any case, previous approaches involve explicit time-dependence and an optimization over the times of thermal

contacts, seems to play an important role in the analysis.

In this letter, we consider a quasi-static framework (where, in principle, no time dependence is invoked) of work extraction [13–16] from two similar and finite systems acting respectively as heat source and sink. We show that for small temperature differences, the efficiency at maximum work (EMW) can be shown to be independent of the reservoir model and depends only on the ratio of initial temperatures. Further, the bounds on efficiency as mentioned above, are also valid for EMW and an interpolation between the two bounds is realized by taking source and sink of different relative sizes. Our analysis gives a novel perspective on the validity of these bounds and the universality of efficiency in a different, time-independent framework.

First of all, we consider the case of two identical thermodynamic systems, each described by the same fundamental relation $U \equiv U(S, V, N)$. Here U, S, V and N denote the internal energy, the thermodynamic entropy, the volume and the number of moles for a system. Assume the systems are initially at different temperatures T_+ and T_-, where $T_+ > T_-$. Correspondingly, their initial entropies are denoted as S_+ and S_-, respectively. The simplest case is when V and N are the same for both systems. We also keep V and N fixed throughout our discussion. The total energy is taken as the sum of energies of individual systems.

Now consider the classic, textbook problem [14, 15] in which work is extracted by alternately coupling these systems with a reversible work source. The total entropy of the systems is kept conserved. Thus if S_1 and S_2 are the entropies of the source and the sink at any stage of the process, then $S_1 + S_2 = S_+ + S_-$. Note that no description enters about the working medium which is assumed to undergo a cyclic process. Classical thermodynamics also tells us that we can extract work so long as the temperatures of the two systems remain different. Due to extraction of heat from the hotter system and dumping of unutilized heat into the colder system, their temperatures gradually approach each other and so the process terminates at a common final temperature. Clearly, as V and N are also same for the two systems, therefore, in the final state, the entropies of both systems are equal, given by $S_c = (S_+ + S_-)/2$.

Therefore, the maximum extractable work from the systems, due to temperature gradient, defined as the difference of initial and final total energies, is

$$W_0 = U(S_+, V, N) + U(S_-, V, N) - 2U(S_c, V, N). \tag{2}$$

Note that for an arbitrary reversible process between the initial and final states, the notion of change in availability [17, 18] encapsulates the useful work. Here, for simplicity, we assume that no work is performed by/on the source and the sink, so that the extracted work is simply given by total change in internal energies of the systems.

Then the heat absorbed by the work source from the hot system is $Q_+ = U(S_+, V, N) - U(S_c, V, N)$. Now we are interested in the efficiency of this process, $\eta_0 = W_0/Q_+$. We shall prove that if the initial temperature difference is small, then the efficiency is independent of the nature of the source or the sink.

We make use of an important property of thermodynamic functions, by which the energy is a homogeneous function of degree one of its arguments [19]. This implies: $U(\alpha S, \alpha V, \alpha N) = \alpha U(S, V, N)$, where α is a scaling factor [20]. Then the efficiency can be written as

$$\eta_0 = \frac{U(S_+, V, N) + U(S_-, V, N) - U(S_+ + S_-, 2V, 2N)}{U(S_+) - \frac{1}{2}U(S_+ + S_-, 2V, 2N)}. \tag{3}$$

Now we assume that the initial temperature difference $\delta T = T_+ - T_-$ is small. This implies that the entropy difference $\delta S = S_+ - S_-$ is small too. Treating entropy as the basic variable, we expand internal energy as a Taylor's series upto second-order in δS:

$$U(S_-, V, N) = U(S_+, V, N) + \left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right|_{S=S_+} (-\delta S) + \frac{1}{2!}\left.\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S^2}\right|_{S=S_+} (\delta S)^2 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U(S_+ + S_-, 2V, 2N) &= U(2S_+ - \delta S, 2V, 2N) \\ &= U(2S_+, 2V, 2N) + \left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right|_{S=2S_+} (-\delta S) + \frac{1}{2!}\left.\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S^2}\right|_{S=2S_+} (\delta S)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where all partial derivatives are evaluated at fixed values of V and N. Now notice that

$$T_+ = T_+(S_+, V, N) = \left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right|_{S=S_+} = \left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right|_{S=2S_+} \tag{6}$$

which is the intensive property of temperature defined as $T = \partial U/\partial S$. Secondly, we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S^2}\right|_{S=2S_+} = \left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right|_{S=2S_+} = \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right|_{S=S_+}. \tag{7}$$

For brevity of the notation, we hide the symbols for V and N. Then using the above conditions, we can write Eqs. (4) and (5) as

$$U(S_-) = U(S_+) - T_+\delta S + \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right|_{S=S_+} (\delta S)^2 \tag{8}$$

and

$$U(S_+ + S_-) = U(2S_+) - T_+\delta S + \frac{1}{4}\left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right|_{S=S_+}(\delta S)^2. \tag{9}$$

Now, we substitute Eqs. (8) and (9) in (3). Denoting $(\partial T/\partial S)_{S=S_+} \equiv \mathcal{T}$ and upon simplifying, we get an expression for EMW as:

$$\eta_0 = \frac{2\mathcal{T}\delta S}{4T_+ - \mathcal{T}\delta S}. \tag{10}$$

We can identify $\mathcal{T}\delta S = \delta T$, whereby

$$\eta_0 = \frac{2\delta T}{T_+\left(4 - \frac{\delta T}{T_+}\right)}. \tag{11}$$

This can be rewritten in terms of Carnot efficiency $\eta_C = \delta T/T_+$, as

$$\eta_0 = \frac{2\eta_C}{4 - \eta_C}. \tag{12}$$

The behavior of EMW, based on the temperature gradient between two finite and similar thermodynamic systems, is universal in that it depends only on the ratio of initial temperatures and is independent of the nature of the systems modelled as heat source and sink. This constitutes the first main result of this letter. To make an analogy, it is interesting to note that the above expression for efficiency is also obtained at maximum power output in stochastic engines [5] as well as in exoreversible models [21].

To further see how this efficiency generalizes to different-sized heat source and sink, we consider m copies of the source-system (hereafter referred to as subsystem) in mutual equilibrium at initial temperature T_+. These subsystems taken together constitute the heat source. Similarly, let n copies of the subsystem at initial temperature T_- together make up the heat sink. Again S_+ (S_-) is the initial entropy of a single subsystem, now comprising the source (sink). Thus $\{mS_+, mV, mN\}$ and $\{nS_-, nV, nN\}$ are the values of the corresponding quantities for source and sink, respectively. In general, we can take m and n to be any real numbers.

As before, we consider a reversible process in which the total entropy is conserved at any stage. This implies that: $mS_1 + nS_2 = mS_+ + nS_-$. Finally, the two systems achieve a common temperature. The entropy of every subsystem in the final state is:

$$\mathcal{S}_c = \frac{m}{m+n}S_+ + \frac{n}{m+n}S_-. \tag{13}$$

Using the homogeneous property of the energy function $U(S)$, the maximum extracted work is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_0 &= mU(S_+) + nU(S_-) - (m+n)U(S_c) \\ &= mU(S_+) + nU(S_-) - U(mS_+ + nS_-).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Heat absorbed from the source by the engine is

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ = mU(S_+) - mU(S_c). \tag{15}$$

Then the efficiency of this process, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \mathcal{W}_0/\mathcal{Q}_+$, is

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \frac{mU(S_+) + nU(S_-) - U(mS_+ + nS_-)}{mU(S_+) - \left(\frac{m}{m+n}\right) U(mS_+ + nS_-)}. \tag{16}$$

Again we assume a small difference in the initial temperatures of the source and the sink, whereby the entropy difference $\delta S = S_+ - S_-$ is also small. Then we have the series expansion of $U(S_-)$ about $S = S_+$, from Eq. (4). Analogous to Eq. (5), we have the following series upto second order:

$$\begin{aligned}U(mS_+ + nS_-) &= U((m+n)S_+ - n\delta S) \\ &= U((m+n)S_+) + \left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right|_{S=(m+n)S_+} (-n\delta S) + \frac{1}{2!}\left.\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S^2}\right|_{S=(m+n)S_+} (n\delta S)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The above series can be simplified to

$$U(mS_+ + nS_-) = (m+n)U(S_+) - nT_+\delta S + \frac{n^2}{2(m+n)}\mathcal{T}(\delta S)^2. \tag{18}$$

Substituting Eqs. (4) and (18) into Eq. (16) and upon simplifying, we get

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \frac{\eta_C}{2 - \left(\frac{n}{m+n}\right)\eta_C}. \tag{19}$$

The above expression reduces to the previous result for equal-sized systems, when $m = n$. Further note the similarity of the above expression with Eq. (1), although the two results have very different underlying frameworks.

Now, consider the following limits. To model a sink that is much larger than the heat source, we can take $n \gg m$. In this case, the expression (19) tends to the limit

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \frac{\eta_C}{2 - \eta_C}. \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, if the source is much larger compared to the sink ($m \gg n$), then we get the limiting value

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \frac{\eta_C}{2}. \tag{21}$$

Thus with different relative sizes of the source and the sink, and for small temperature differences, EMW is bounded as:

$$\frac{\eta_C}{2} \leq \boldsymbol{\eta}_0 \leq \frac{\eta_C}{2-\eta_C}. \tag{22}$$

The lower (upper) bound is obtained with a sink much smaller (larger) in size as compared to the source. The derivation of the above bounds for efficiency, within a quasi-static framework of work extraction, constitutes our second major result.

Finally, we discuss another aspect of the universality of efficiency. In scenarios of maximum power output, the efficiency is often studied through its series expansion in terms of the small parameter η_C. Our expressions for efficiency, as in Eqs. (12) and (19), are also given in terms of η_C. However, it is important to note that our analysis, based on the expansions in δS upto the second order, is at the level of linear response [22]. In order to get the correct behavior of EMW upto the second-order term in η_C, we have to expand the relevant thermodynamic quantities upto third order, i.e. to go beyond the linear response behavior. Hereby, we extend the calculation for the case when both source and sink obey the same fundamental relation and the extensive variables are scaled in the ratio $m : n$. The detailed calculation is presented in the Appendix. The final expansion of the efficiency can be written as:

$$\eta = \frac{\eta_C}{2} + \frac{1}{4(1+n/m)}\left[\frac{n}{m} + \frac{(1-n/m)}{3}\left(1 - \frac{T_+ C'_+}{C_+}\right)\right]\eta_C^2 + O[\eta_C^3], \tag{23}$$

where C_+, C'_+ are the heat capacity at constant volume and its derivative w.r.t T, both evaluated at $T = T_+$. Thus, we note that the first-order term is universal. The second-order term, in general, depends upon the relative sizes, as well as the nature of the system through C_+ and C'_+. Here we mention two special cases in order to evaluate the second-order coefficients. First, if $m = n$, implying that source and sink are of identical scale, then we get the universal coefficient of 1/8, irrespective of the nature of the system. Secondly, for the simple case of perfect gases, the heat capacity is independent of the temperature, so that $C'_+ = 0$. Thus for such systems, we get

$$\eta_{\rm op} = \frac{\eta_C}{2} + \frac{1+2x}{12(1+x)}\eta_C^2 + O[\eta_C^3], \tag{24}$$

where $x = n/m$ and in this case, is also equal to the ratio of heat capacities of sink to source. This behavior matches with the behavior reproduced through a direct calculation, say by expressiong U directly as function of T, $U(T) = CT$, for a perfect gas. Actually, for finite but still large systems, the correction introduced by C'_+/C_+ term is rather tiny, so that to a good approximation, the second-order term only depends on the relative size x, as in Eq. (24) above.

To conclude, the generality of Carnot efficiency lies in the fact that it is independent of the nature of the working medium and depends only on the ratio of reservoir temperatures. As is well known, a reservoir in Carnot-like or any reversible heat cycle is characterized only by its fixed temperature. On the other hand, EMW within a finite source/sink setup, is expected to depend, in general, on the nature of the (finite) reservoirs through the function $U(S)$. But as seen above, with similar source and sink in a linear response framework, EMW is function only of the ratio of initial temperatures. For different-sized systems modelled as copies of the same thermodynamic system, we see an additional dependence on the relative size of the source and the sink. The efficiency in this scenario is bounded from above and below; the specific bounds are approached when one of the systems becomes very large in comparison with the other.

More interestingly, the above analysis shows that within linear response theory, the form of efficiency at maximum work (quasi-static regime) from finite-sized heat source and sink, is similar to that found at optimal power output in heat engines interacting with (infinite) reservoirs in finite time. This is despite the fact that the two approaches are based on very different premises. Thus for instance, the quasi-static framework involves reversible processes while the finite-time models involves dissipative processes. To the best of our knowledge, these bounds have not been noticed in literature in the context of a quasi-static work extraction, although their study within the framework of finite-time thermodynamics, is an active area of research. We also obtain the efficiency upto second order in the (initial) temperature difference, which is beyond linear response. We verify that irrespective of the fundamental relation, the second-order term is universal for systems of identical scale. It is hoped that the above analysis within the quasi-static regime and near-equilibrium situations, will give a fresh perspective in terms of the comparison of the universality of efficiency and its bounds at optimal work and power extraction.

RSJ acknowledges financial support from the Department of Science and Technology,

India, under the research project No. SR/S2/CMP-0047/2010(G).

APPENDIX

The theory of linear response, as applied to small deviations from thermodynamic equilibrium, is used to describe the stability of the equilibrium state [22]. In the energy representation, the system energy U is expanded in terms of the fluctuations in other extensive variables upto second order. In the present context, we have considered expansion of U in terms of entropy S, upto the second order, keeping V and N fixed. Now, to go beyond linear response, we expand W and Q_+ upto third order in δS:

$$Q_+ = \frac{mn}{m+n}T_+\delta S - \frac{mn^2}{2(m+n)^2}\mathcal{T}(\delta S)^2 + \frac{mn^3}{6(m+n)^3}\mathcal{T}'(\delta S)^3 + O[(\delta S)^4], \tag{25}$$

$$W_0 = \frac{mn}{2(m+n)}\mathcal{T}(\delta S)^2 + \frac{mn(m+2n)}{6(m+n)^2}\mathcal{T}'(\delta S)^3 + O[(\delta S)^4], \tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{T} = \partial T/\partial S|_{S=S_+}$, and $\mathcal{T}' = \partial^2 T/\partial S^2|_{S=S_+}$. The efficiency, upto second order, is written as

$$\eta = a_1(-n\delta S) + a_2 n^2(\delta S)^2 + O[(\delta S)^3], \tag{27}$$

$$\equiv \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial S}\delta S + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial S^2}(\delta S)^2 + O[(\delta S)^3], \tag{28}$$

where the partial derivative denote that V and N are kept fixed. To evaluate, say the coefficients a_1 and a_2, we use expansions (25), (26) and (28) in the expression $\eta Q_+ = W_0$. Then we compare terms in the same powers of δS and so obtain the coefficients, as below.

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial S} \equiv -na_1 = \frac{\mathcal{T}}{2T_+}, \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial S^2} \equiv 2n^2 a_2 = \frac{n}{(m+n)T_+}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}^2}{2T_+} - \frac{(m+2n)\mathcal{T}'}{3n}\right]. \tag{30}$$

So formally, we have expressed the EMW, in terms of deviations in entropy upto second order, Eq. (28). However, a useful expansion for efficiency is in terms of temperature difference. For that purpose, we note that in the energy representation, $T \equiv T(S, V, N)$, which is the thermal equation of state. Clearly, one can also express efficiency in terms of temperature differences, by appropriately transforming the independent variable from entropy to temperature. Care has to be taken here, because whereas the first differential

is invariant with respect to such a change of variable, the higher order differentials are not [23]. Thus if we transform the variable from S to T, then

$$\eta = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T}\delta T + \frac{1}{2!}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial S^2}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial S}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial T^2}\right](\delta T)^2 + O[(\delta T)^3], \tag{31}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial S}\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial S}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right)^{-1} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial S}\mathcal{T}^{-1}. \tag{32}$$

Note that all derivatives here are to be evaluated at the value $T = T_+$ or $S = S_+$. Now, due to relation (29), we get a universal first-order term equal to $\eta_C/2$, where $\eta_C = \delta T/T_+$. The second-order coefficients can be further evaluated by noting that

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} = \frac{C}{T}. \tag{33}$$

$$\mathcal{T}' = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial S^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial S}\left(\frac{T}{C}\right) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left(\frac{T}{C}\right) = \frac{T}{C}\frac{C - TC'}{C^2}, \tag{34}$$

where C is the heat capacity of the system at temperature T and constant volume V, and $C' = \partial C/\partial T$.

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial T^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left(\frac{C}{T}\right) = \frac{TC' - C}{T^2}. \tag{35}$$

Using the above derivatives in the second-order expansion of efficiency, Eq. (31) is finally written as:

$$\eta = \frac{\eta_C}{2} + \frac{1}{4(1+n/m)}\left[\frac{n}{m} + \frac{(1-n/m)}{3}\left(1 - \frac{T_+ C'_+}{C_+}\right)\right]\eta_C^2 + O[\eta_C^3], \tag{36}$$

where C_+, C'_+ represent the quantities at $T = T_+$.

---

[1] F.L. Curzon and B. Ahlborn, Am. J. Phys. **43**, 22 (1975).

[2] L. Chen and Z. Yan, J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 3740 (1989).

[3] C. Van den Broeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 190602 (2005).

[4] Y. Wang and Z.C. Tu, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 011127 (2012).

[5] T. Schmiedl and U. Seifert, Europhys. Lett. **81**, 20003 (2008).

[6] M. Esposito, R. Kawai, K. Lindenberg, and C. Van den Broeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 150603 (2010).

[7] M. Moreau, B. Gaveau and L.S. Schulman, arXiv: 1112.1293.

[8] Y. Wang and Z.C. Tu, Europhys. Lett. **98**, 40001 (2012).

[9] Y. Izumida and K. Okuda, Europhys. Lett. **97**, 10004 (2012).

[10] C. Van den Broeck, EPL **101**, 10006 (2013).

[11] Z.C. Tu, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 312003 (2008).

[12] M. Esposito, K. Lindenberg, and C. Van den Broeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 130602 (2009).

[13] W. Thomson, Philos. Mag. **5**, 102 (1853).

[14] H.B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics*, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons (1985), Chap. 4.

[15] F. Reif, Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics, Problem 5.23, (McGraw-Hill 1981).

[16] H.S. Leff, Am. J. Phys. **55**, 701 (1987).

[17] J.W. Gibbs, *Collected Works, Vol. 1: Thermodynamics* MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., (1970).

[18] *Ibid.* [14], Problem 4.5-20.

[19] *Ibid.* [14], Chapter 3.

[20] Here, the homogeneous property is implied with respect to scaling of all extensive parameters: $U(\alpha S, \alpha V, \alpha N) = \alpha U(S, V, N)$. For instance, $2U(S_c) \equiv 2U(S_c, V, N) = U(S_+ + S_-, 2V, 2N)$.

[21] Y. Apertet, H. Ouerdane, C. Goupil and Ph. Lecoeur, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 031116 (2012).

[22] R. Gilmore, *The Structure of Thermodynamics*, Lecture Notes, Drexel University (2008).

[23] O.V. Manturov and N.M. Matveev, *A Course of Higher Mathematics*, Mir Publishers, Moscow (1989) p. 214.